CF1002

SINGLE BENCH

In the Honble High Court of Judicature of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. No. 7482 2006

P.R. No. 26226
Presented by SK Verma
dated 20/12/06

Petitioner ... ... Motilal Sahu, aged 44 years
Son of Shri Bhgtu Ram Sahu,
Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School,
Village Kandadi, Tribal Block Mohala,
District Rajnandgoan (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents ..... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Tribal Welfare Department Ministry,
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Education Officer, Mohala
District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
20/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Writ Petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of WRIT in the nature of MANDAMUS certiorari, Prohibition and for other Suitable writ or writs, order or orders, Direction or Directions.

XI-HC-78

(24)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 7482/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	09.01.2007 **Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s).** **Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.** **With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the writ petition is heard finally and disposed of.** **Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S) No. 7385/2006 in open Court, passed separately.** **A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.** **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Subhash

P.T.O.

(17)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7385 OF 2006

Petitioner : Hemant Kumar Dewangan

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7475 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhukhan Lal Tiwari

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7476 OF 2006

Petitioner : Mohan Lal Manikpan

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7477 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhagirathi Dhanker

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7478 OF 2006

Petitioner : Kalyan Das Sondre

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7479 OF 2006

Petitioner : Anjor Singh Chandaniha

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7480 OF 2006

Petitioner : Inderman Lal Nishad

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

(18)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7482 OF 2006

Petitioner : Motilal Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7483 OF 2006

Petitioner : Rama Shankar Sahu

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7528 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhagwat Prasad Sinha

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7529 OF 2006

Petitioner : Narad Lal GangBoir

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7530 OF 2006

Petitioner : Kamal Narayan Verma

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7531 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Mamta Yadav

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 9th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further

whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Subhash